



GLI®

GLI Europe BV

Diakenhuisweg 29-35
2033 AP Haarlem
The Netherlands

Tel +31 (0)88 220 6600
www.gaminglabs.com

Chamber of Commerce
Leiden nr. 28117769
VAT Identification number
NL 8184.73.393.B.01

RvA Registration Number of Accreditation applicable to this Report:	
Testing	L372
Products	C577

This report is only intended for authorized recipients. Please visit our website to view the applicable terms and conditions and Product Certification Scheme. If the recipient does not agree to all of such terms and conditions or Product Certification Scheme, we withdraw the certification or analysis established by this report and the recipient must immediately return all copies of this report and make no reference to this report for any purpose at any time.

Worldwide Locations

World Headquarters

Lakewood, New Jersey

International Offices

GLI Africa

GLI Asia

GLI Australia Pty Ltd

GLI Austria GmbH

GLI Europe BV

GLI Italy

GLI South America

U.S. Regional Offices

Colorado

Nevada

Report Type Evaluation and Certification
Report Date 03 October 2025

Issuing Laboratory GLI Europe B.V.

Evaluating Laboratories GLI Europe B.V.
Diakenhuisweg 29-35
2033 AP Haarlem
The Netherlands
RvA L372
Gaming Laboratories International, LLC
600 Airport Rd.
Lakewood, NJ, 08701
USA
A2LA 2428.01

Recipient Earn Poker Inc.
5th Floor, 6-8-10 Roppongi,
Minato-ku, Tokyo,
Japan, 106-0032

Tested against Requirements Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards (January 2025)
Testing Strategy for Compliance with Remote Gambling and Software Technical Standards January 2025

Jurisdiction UK Remote

Manufacturer Earn Poker Inc.
5th Floor, 6-8-10 Roppongi,
Minato-ku, Tokyo,
Japan, 106-0032

Submitter Earn Poker Inc.
5th Floor, 6-8-10 Roppongi,
Minato-ku, Tokyo,
Japan, 106-0032

Product Name Earn Poker RNG, V1.0.0

Description of the Product Tested StreamService.dll
As requested per submitter's letter received 12 September 2025.

This test report may not be reproduced, other than in full, except with the prior written permission of GLI Europe B.V.

FM-QA-077

Template Revision Date: Modified 11 August 2025



Evaluation Period 17 September 2025 / 25 September 2025

Internal Reference RN-246-EPI-25-01

Result Pass (See Comments and Conditions on the following pages)
Random Number Generator (RNG) Analysis

Internal methods used reference WI-MA-006*
PC-TC-001*

Test Supervisor: *The evaluation was conducted fully or partially by a subcontracted office. Please refer to "Evaluating Laboratories" on page 1.
John Hischer

Technical Evaluation authorized by:

James Boje
Managing Director EMEA
Executive



RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE EARN POKER RNG

The intent of this report is to indicate that GLI has completed its evaluation of the Earn Poker random number generator (RNG), V1.0.0, provided by Earn Poker Inc.

SECTION I — SCOPE OF TESTING

GLI was provided the required materials to conduct a randomness evaluation on the Earn Poker RNG. The scope of this evaluation was limited to software verification, source code review, and data analysis. The RNG was tested for its ability to randomly produce outcomes for the Texas Hold'em Poker game.

The Earn Poker RNG was evaluated against the RNG-specific requirements of the technical standards listed in the first page of the report.

SECTION II — SOFTWARE VERIFICATION

Verify+ by Kobetron™ signatures for the Earn Poker RNG are as follows:

File	Version	Type	Signature
StreamService.dll	V1.0.0	Kobe4	A5UH
		MD5	B651A141484B188BCDAD347A647FB020
		SHA-1	40DC3AF3245D7E1B1FA567B89747501D08D73B42

Table 1. Digital Signatures

SECTION III — SOURCE CODE REVIEW

GLI received the appropriate documentation and full source code which pertains to the generation of random numbers. GLI reviewed the source code provided by tracing the path of the RNG application from the initiation of the draw to the selected output of random numbers. GLI inspected the source code, where practicable, in an attempt to find any undisclosed switches or parameters having a possible influence on randomness and fair play. GLI assessed the ability of the RNG to produce all numbers within the desired range.



RANDOMNESS REPORT FOR THE EARN POKER RNG

SECTION IV — STATISTICAL TESTING

The RNG parameters tested are listed in Table 2. GLI performed a data format check on each data set listed in order to confirm that these parameters were correctly represented in the data analyzed.

GLI conducted a statistical analysis of sufficient scope to test the RNG for selecting as many as 52 winners from a pool of size of 52 as described in Table 2. To provide this level of assessment, GLI selected 10 different test cases for statistical testing. The selection of test cases took into account broad coverage of the RNG parameters listed.

A set of numbers is said to be drawn *with replacement* if a number can be selected multiple times within the same draw. A set of numbers is said to be drawn *without replacement* if a number can only be selected once within the same draw.

Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement
Texas Hold'em Poker	0-51	Up to 52	No

Table 2. RNG Parameters

In addition to final outcome data, GLI tested raw outcomes consisting of binary output from the main RNG algorithm prior to the application of any scaling algorithms. For a summary of the statistical tests applied to each data set, see *Appendix A*. For a description of the overall test methodology and a description of each test used, see *Appendix B*.

Overall, the RNG passed the battery of tests for each configuration at the 95%, 98% and 99% confidence levels.

SECTION V — SUMMARY

Overall Evaluation of the Random Number Generator

GLI's conclusion based upon the tests applied to the Earn Poker RNG data is that this random number generator has exhibited random behavior and is suitable for the applications as described herein. If a game utilizes different RNG parameters than the ones listed in this report, the RNG should be resubmitted to test that set of parameters.



APPENDIX A: Statistical Test Summary

					Test Names												
Data Set	Range	Positions	Replacement	Draws	Runs	Serial Corr.	Interplay Corr.	Adj. Max-Min	Adj. High-Low	Adj. Blocks	Coupon	Duplicates	Overlaps	Permutation	Tot. Dist.	Tot. Dist. by Pos.	Diehard
Texas Hold'em Poker	0-51	Up to 52	No	50,000,000	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
Binary	Not applicable																X

Table A 1. Tests Applied

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

B.1 Definitions. The following terms apply to the below test descriptions. Randomness Device or Random Number Generator (RNG) output may be collected multiple numbers at a time. Each set of numbers is called a *draw*. Each individual number has a particular order within the *draw*. This is referred to as the number *position*.

B.2 Distribution Comparisons. Many of the tests compare an observed numerical distribution with an expected distribution. Unless otherwise specified, this is done by means of a statistical chi-square goodness-of-fit test. The value chi-square is computed in the standard way. If k is a possible value, o_k is the observed count of that value, and e_k is the expected count:

$$\chi^2 = \sum_k \frac{(o_k - e_k)^2}{e_k}$$

In the case where expected counts are too small for accurate use of the above formula, values are 'binned' together to ensure an appropriate minimum expected count. The resultant value for chi-square is compared against the distribution for the appropriate number of degrees of freedom. Unusually high (distribution mismatch) or unusually low (insufficient randomness) chi-square values can be causes for data failure.

B.3 Meta-testing. Evaluation of groups of p -values may include a meta-test for extremity of high or low p -values, a meta-test for frequency of high or low p -values, and a meta-test for uniformity of p -values, as appropriate.

B.4 Confidence Level. The statistical tests conducted by GLI are done at a particular *confidence level*. Common confidence levels used include 95%, 98%, and 99%, depending on jurisdictional requirements, and intended use of the RNG. High confidence level testing has low risk of mistakenly failing a good RNG, but higher risk of passing a bad RNG. Lower confidence level testing has increased power of detecting bad RNGs, while also increasing the risk of false failures of good RNGs. Specifically, the confidence level represents the probability that an ideal source of randomness would pass the testing. If an RNG passes statistical tests at a given confidence level, passage at all *higher* confidence levels is implied.

B.5 Tests. Some tests are only applicable to certain types of data. Some tests may be applied only to a portion of the data. Some tests may require that the data be parsed, binned, or otherwise transformed, as necessitated by data format.



APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Adjacency Blocks:

For each draw, the data is first sorted. Then the amount of contiguous blocks of numbers is counted. These statistics are then compared against the expected. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

1, 5, 4, 2, 6, 9

the data would be sorted and separated into blocks. The resulting statistic would be 3.

Adjacency High-Low:

For each draw, the number of local extrema ('highs' and 'lows') in the data is recorded and compared with the expected distribution. These are also referred to as 'turning points'. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

1, 3, 5, 7, 2, 9

there would be one local maximum (7) and one local minimum (2). The resulting statistic would be 2.

Adjacency Max-Min:

For each draw, the difference between the maximum and minimum values is calculated and recorded. This is compared with the expected theoretical distribution. For example, if a draw consists of the numbers

2, 3, 6, 7, 4

the resulting statistic would be 5, the difference between the maximum value (7) and the minimum value (2).

Coupon Collector's:

The Coupon Collector's Test is applied positionally. The data is parsed until all possible values have been observed, then the number of values checked is recorded and the count is restarted. This is compared with the expected distribution. For example, if the set of all possible values is {0, 1, 2} and the first position of each draw is

1, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 2, ...

then all values are observed in the first position by the fifth draw. All values are then observed within the next 3 draws, so the first two statistics for the first position would be 5 and 3.

DieHard:

The DieHard Battery of Tests is a standard assessment of the randomness in raw outcomes generated from an RNG. The collection, designed by George Marsaglia, tests for a variety of patterns in the individual binary bits of RNG output. GLI uses a custom implementation to conduct DieHard testing.

APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Duplicates:

The Duplicates Test counts the number of times a draw is exactly duplicated in the data. In the case that a particular draw is repeated more than twice, every possible way to generate a duplicate is counted. This is compared against the theoretical distribution to verify that the number of duplicate draws falls within expected bounds. For example, consider the dataset consisting of the following draws of two numbers each.

- a) 1, 3
- b) 4, 1
- c) 1, 3
- d) 1, 3
- e) 4, 1
- f) 3, 1

The duplicate pairs are (a,c), (a, d), (c, d), and (b, e), for a total of 4 duplicates. (f) is not counted as a duplicate since the draw must match in order as well as values.

Interplay Correlation:

The Interplay Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between different positions of the same draw. For each pair of positions, statistical correlation is calculated as in the Serial Correlation Test. In the case of without replacement data, an adjustment is made to account for the expected resulting negative correlation.

Overlaps:

The Overlaps Test compares consecutive draws for overlapping values. The number of overlapping values is recorded for each pair of draws. This observed distribution of overlaps is then compared against the expected distribution. For example, if the following draws are observed consecutively,

- a) 1, 4, 5, 6
- b) 4, 1, 7, 6

the number of overlaps would be 3, representing the values 1, 4, and 6.

Permutation:

The Permutation Test is a test applicable to data that represents a reordering of numbers. Each draw can be considered as a permutation of the original ordering. Every permutation can be decomposed into disjoint cycles, which represent the possible positions a number would occupy if the same permutation is applied repeatedly. For each draw, three statistics are collected based on the cycle decomposition:

- The number of cycles.
- The size of the smallest cycle.
- The size of the largest cycle.

Each of these statistics generates a distribution of observations which are compared with their respective expected distributions. For example, if the following draw were observed as a reordering of the numbers from 1 to 6,

1, 3, 5, 4, 2, 6

the cyclic decomposition would be (1)(2 3 5)(4)(6). 1, 4, and 6 remain in their original positions, so they form their own cycles. The values 2, 3, and 5 are shuffled, so they form a single cycle together. The total number of cycles is 4, the smallest cycle has size 1, and the largest cycle has size 3.



APPENDIX B: Test Descriptions

Runs:

The Wald-Wolfowitz Runs Test is applied to each position within the draw. A center is established, typically the data median, and the number of 'runs' above and below the center are tallied. Values exactly equal to the center are discarded. This is compared to the expected distribution, which depends on the number of values above and below the center. For example, if the numbers drawn at a particular position were

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

and the established center were the data median of 3, the data would be parsed for runs above 3 and runs below 3.

2, 3, 1, 5, 4, 7, 3, 2, 3, 2, 3, 2, 6, 7, 3, 5

This would be counted as 4 runs.

Serial Correlation:

The Serial Correlation Test measures statistical correlation between consecutive draws of the same position. For each position, the sample Pearson correlation coefficient is calculated. If X represents the first number, and Y the number that follows, then the coefficient is

$$r = \frac{cov(X, Y)}{s_X s_Y}$$

where s denotes the sample standard deviation. The coefficients are used to generate a p -value for each position.

Total Distribution:

The Total Distribution Test is a simple tally of all observed values throughout the data. This is compared with the expected distribution. Typically the expected distribution is a uniform distribution. In the case of unequal weighting of values, an appropriate discrete distribution is used.

Total Distribution by Position:

The Total Distribution by Position Test tallies the observed distribution of values for each position within the draw. Each of these distributions is then compared with the expected.



Comments

This Report relates only to the product(s) listed.